Mitigating RDII at Private Sources, Challenges and Opportunities

Jason Sanson, City of Columbus

Hazem Gheith, Arcadis

5-Cities, Columbus Ohio – 2017





DEPARTMENT OF

PUBLIC UTILITIES



Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets



Clean streams. Strong neighborhoods.

Topics

- Blueprint Columbus
- Level of Service and RDII Reduction
- Pilot RDII Mitigation Tests
- Challenges and Opportunities





Wet Weather Requirements

- Two consent orders with the State of Ohio
 - Separate Sanitary Overflow
 - Combined Sewer Overflow

Mayor, City Council Welcome Historic Ohio EPA Final Approval of \$2.5 Billion Plan to Reduce Sewage Overflows

(Columbus) Mayor Michael B. Coleman and City Council are pleased the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has given final approval to the City's \$2.5 billion, Wet Weather Management Plan (WWMP). The plan, once completed, will dramatically reduce sewer overflows and basement backups during heavy rains and result in cleaner local waterways. The WWMP, submitted to Ohio EPA July 1, 2005, will bring the City into compliance with two consent orders signed with the State of Ohio in

"Columbus is again making history and leading the nation thanks to our hard work with Ohio EPA to finalize our innovative plan to reduce sewer overflows, improve our waterways and make basements drier for Columbus families," said Mayor Coleman. "This is a giant step forward toward a healthier, greener Columbus. It is also an expensive project, so we must stay vigilant to do all we can to keep water and sewer rates affordable."

To date, the City has invested nearly \$1 billion in consent order projects, including a \$106 million new Headworks facility at the Southerly Wastewater Treatment Plant, other upgrades at the plant, the Big Walnut Augmentation/Rickenbacker Interceptor and neighborhood sewer projects that will help reduce overflows and basement backups. Additional improvements to the Southerly and Jackson Pike wastewater treatment plants totaling \$329 million are to be completed by 2010 as required. These projects are part of the WWMP Interim Plan, which Ohio EPA approved in March 2008.

"These improvements will help the City of Columbus meet the increased demands being placed on our wastewater infrastructure in an environmentally friendly way," said City Council Public Utilities Committee Chair Eileen Paley.

Ohio EPA approval of the WWMP covers projects in the plan except for the timetable for the final two phases of two large diameter underground tunnels that will run along the Olentangy River and Alum Creek. Ohio EPA's approval requires the City to submit an accelerated schedule for completion of the two large tunnels, as well as an affordability analysis and rate study by January 9, 2015, which will build on an extensive affordability analysis the City originally submitted with the WWMP in 2005. The deadline is a change

"This historic agreement is the result of the City's best and brightest working closely with our partners at Ohio EPA," said Department of Public Utilities Director Tatyana Arsh. "We remain committed to that relationship, making sure the plan is done in a fiscally and environmentally responsible way that better

serves our ratepayers while doing all we can to keep rates affordable." Since 2006, the City's Low Income Discount Program has provided a discount to

ratepayers living in poverty who meet the program's qualifications. With City Council



































Ohio EPA Approved Plan; City Council Legislation Enacted







COLUMBUS Clean streams Strong neighborhoods.

BEUE PRINT COLUMBUS

Clean streams. Strong neighborhoods.

> Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets









ARCADIS Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets



Topics

- Blueprint Columbus
- Level of Service and RDII Reduction
- Pilot RDII Mitigation Tests
- Challenges and Opportunities





Level of Service – Typical Year

• Typical year used for CSO

Location in the Collection System	Overflows Allowed in a Typical Year Run
OARS Overflow	4
Whittier Street Storm Tanks	0
Alum Creek Storm Tanks	0
Non-Downtown CSOs*	0





Level of Service – Historical Recurrence

• 20-year scenario used to determine SSO, WIB and bypass compliance

Location in the Collection System	Overflows Allowed in a 20-Year Run	Targeted Level of Service		
	CSOs			
Downtown CSOs*	2	10-Year		
SSOs and Manholes				
All SSOs	2	10-Year		
All Manholes	2	10-Year		
	WIBs			
All WIBs**	2	10-Year		
WWTPs				
Jackson Pike	0	10-Year		
Southerly	12	1.4 Year		





Level of Service - Stormwater

- Quantity Control
 - Do-no-harm for localized flooding
 - No increase in peak flow to Streams
- Quality Control
 - 20% Total Suspended Solids







Roof redirection and Sump Pumps

- Target peak RDII flow reduction is 60-65% to achieve LOS
- Blueprint assumption
 - 50% Roof redirection
 Participation (with 50%
 effectiveness due to potential
 poor gutters condition)
 - 25% Sump pumps Participation (90% effectiveness)





Topics

- Blueprint Columbus
- Level of Service and RDII Reduction
- Pilot RDII Mitigation Tests
- Challenges and Opportunities





Pilot RDII Mitigation Tests

• Five Houses









Lateral Flow Monitoring

RDII Mitigation Technologies Tested

- Lateral Lining (LL)
- Roof Redirection (RR)
- Traditional Sump Pump (TSP)
- Deep Sump Pump (DSP)

Controlled storms applied

- 3-month in the morning
- 1-year in the evening

Water Application

- 6' buffer around the house
- 6' buffer above lateral pipe

ARCADIS

Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets





DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

ANDREW J. GINTHER, MAYOF

THE CITY OF

House 1: 764 E Whittier St – LL, RR, TSP, DSP







Traditional Sump Pump







House 1: 764 E Whittier St







Deep Sump Pump Design

 Draw groundwater table down around the 4" x 6" connection







House 1: 764 E Whittier St







House 2: 227 N Oakley Ave









House 2: 227 N Oakley Ave

- Existing Condition
- LL + TSP
- LL + Deep SP

Technology	Peak Flow Reduction	Volume Reduction
LL	40% small storms More RDII in large storms	Not significant
Add TSP	0% in small storm 15% in large storm	Not significant
LL + Deep SP	13% in small storms 0% in large storms	15%





House 3: 985 Carpenter St – TSP, DSP (no LL)

- Existing Condition
- TSP
- Deep SP

Technology	Peak Flow Reduction	Volume Reduction
TSP	Not Significant	20%
Deep SP	30 - 37%	41%





BLOUE PRINT COLUMBUS Clean streams. Strong neighborhoods.

445 Terrace Avenue Site Plan

Additional monitor was placed at upstream end of the lateral pipe

Technologies Tested:

- Traditional Sump Pump
- Traditional Sump Pump with a Pipe below foundation to collect flow around the 4" x 6" connection





House 4: 445 Terrace Ave – TSP, Modified SP Configuration







Modified Sump Pump Configuration

• Extend perforated pipe under foundation to collect flow around the 4" x 6" connection

Grade Outside 778.73

S

B





ARCADIS Design & Consultancy for natural and bulk assets



DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

ANDREW J. GINTHER, MAYOR

THE CITY OF

House 4: 445 Terrace Ave







House 5: 402 S Richardson Ave – TSP, Modified SP Configuration

Technologies tested

- Traditional Sump Pump
- TSP with extended pipes above and below foundation
- Tight the 4" x 6" connection
- Deep SP with extended pipe







House 5: 402 S Richardson Ave Modified SP Configuration

• Extended pipe above foundation











House 5: 402 S Richardson Ave





DEPARTMENT OF



36" Sump Pump with External Pipe







House 5: 402 S Richardson Ave







House 5: 402 S Richardson Ave





DEPARTMENT OF

Topics

- Blueprint Columbus
- Level of Service and RDII Reduction
- Pilot RDII Mitigation Tests
- Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges and Opportunities

- Challenges
 - A combination of RDII reduction technologies are required to achieve the target LOS
 - Lateral lining could cause groundwater to build up around house perimeter
 - Traditional sump pumps is least effectiveness in RDII reduction
- Opportunities
 - Highest level of RDII reduction is expected in houses with foundation drains that could be connected directly into storm sump pump
 - Roof drainage redirection is highly effective (assuming gutters are in good shape to avoid splashing around the house)
 - Deep (no-traditional) sump pump increase the effectiveness of the sump pump reduction technologies with additional cost

Thank You

Jason Sanson, JTSanson@columbus.gov Hazem Gheith, hazem.Gheith@arcadis.com